Corrigendum

To RFP Enq. No. - OCAC-TH-14/2018/ENQ/18053
Selection of Implementing Agency (IA) for Implementation of ICT Solutions
for Diploma courses in SCTE&VT, Odisha

Page No	Section	Sub-Section, Clause Details	Addition / Modifications (The Clause may be read as)
7	5	5.2 & 6.1.1 (k) /6.1.2 (m) The selected Bidder should employ adequate quality control process after scanning as a staging process before uploading the scripts in to the evaluation software at Tier 3 Data Centre.	**Modification:** The Server data to be secured at Odisha State Data Centre or Bidder's Own Data Centre or Third party Data Centre which must be a Tier 3 data centre with a DR site at a different geographical location as per Cert-In and Government of India / Government of Odisha Guidelines.
10	6.1	6.1.2. (m) Server data to be secured at a Tier 3 data centre with a DR site at a different geographical location.	**Addition:** The Duration for storing the Server Data at the Data Centre/ DR shall be One (1) year. The vendor shall provide the Server data in an external storage device preferably Hard Drive to the Purchaser after one year.
12	6.1	6.1.5 (c) The system must use image scoring for subjective responses or equally competent technology.	**Modification:** Scanned images of Answer Scripts should be rendered for evaluation process.
12	6.1	6.1.5 (f) The system should allow for flexible work assignments (e.g. an evaluator can grade any question of an exam, or he can grade only one question, or a group of questions) but in no case can close without evaluating all questions.	**Modification :** If SCTEVT decides to adopt structural marking pattern in future then Service provider should be capable of handling this requirement.
12	6.1	6.1.5 (g) The system should be able to allow for flexible work flow (e.g. the administrator should be able to define how much work one or more evaluator receives).	**Modification:** Controller of Examination, SCTE & VT will be the administrator for both the systems i.e. e-Evaluation system & Online question delivery system. He will decide the number of answer scripts to be evaluated by an evaluator per day and if required he should be able to make few changes in the requirements during the evaluation process. The system should be flexible enough to accommodate such changed requirements.

Page No	Section	Sub-Section, Clause Details	Addition / Modifications (The Clause may be read as)
12	6.1	6.1.5 (w) Software should enable Head examiners to evaluate those scripts already evaluated by examiners. In case of any substantial deviation (Greater or less than 25 %of the mark awarded by examiner) script count of the evaluator is to be deducted and caution message is to be delivered to the examiner.	**Modification:** In case of re-evaluation of answer scripts, marks awarded by one evaluator should not be visible to second evaluator. If the deviation in marks by both the evaluators is more than 25% then the script will have to be presented to a 3rd evaluator and such deviations has to be brought to the notice of COE, SCTE & VT, who will take a final decision in this regard.
18	6.2	6.2.7 (g) Generating request (email and SMS) for setting Question papers to QPS, QPM and QPR through a workflow process initiated by the Controller of Examination	**Modification:** Generating request (email and SMS) for setting Question papers to QPS, QPM and QPR through a workflow process initiated by the Controller of Examination. However, this will be discussed & mutually agreed with the Service Provider during implementation.
24	7.3	b) The Bidder should not bid under any Consortium. No Consortium bid shall be allowed for this RFP.	**No change in the clause.**
24	7.3	7.3 (c) Average turnover of the bidder for the last 3 financial years (FY 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18) ending on 31st March 2018 must be at least Rs.20 Crore. The Bidder shall submit copies of audited Balance Sheets and Profit and Loss Accounts/ Annual Reports of last three financial years (up to 31st Mar-2018). The bidder should have been profitable for all these three financial years and must have positive net worth. Copies of Filed Audited Statements, IT Returns, and GST etc. are to be submitted to corroborate this.	**Please refer Annexure-I.**
25	7.3	d) The Bidder must have successfully implemented at least Three (03) project in the above mentioned area of minimum value of INR 2 Crore (Rupees One Crore) in last 5 years ending on 31st March 2018 in any State Govt./Central Govt./Government Institutes/Large reputed established private Universities India in India recognized UGC/AICTE/MHRD. Copies of Work orders and successful completion certificate for each project proof must be enclosed.	**No change in the RFP.**

Page No	Section	Sub-Section, Clause Details	Addition / Modifications (The Clause may be read as)
26	7.4	1.1 Web based Software solution services in Education sector in Govt. Sector /PSU/Govt. Institutes in last 3 years 1.2 Web based software solution and services in e-Question delivery 1.3 Web based software solution and services in Online Evaluation of answer scripts	**Please refer Annexure-I.**
26	7.4	2 Technical Presentation •Similar Project Experience •Approach and Methodology •Work Plan & SOP	**No change in the clause.**
26	7.4	4 Quality Certifications CMM, ISO	**Please refer Annexure-I.**
35	8	8.23 Intellectual Property Rights (Source Code) The source code of entire applications along with necessary documentations developed under this RFP/Contract should be shared with OCAC/Govt. of Odisha after Go-live of the application.	**This clause is deleted.**
44	9	9.9 Price Bid Format	**Please refer Annexure-II.**
1. General			
Clause 8.2 : PBG			**Modification :** The selected bidder shall furnish a Performance Bank Guarantee (PBG) for 10% (ten percent) of the contract price maximum within 30 days of date of issue of Work Order. The PBG must be from any Scheduled Bank in India. The Performance Bank Guarantee needs to be valid for 3 years and 60 days (60 days beyond the entire contract period of 3 years) from the date of signing of contract. OCAC reserves the right to cancel the work order if the selected bidder fails to furnish the required BG within the stipulated time. OCAC shall invoke the performance guarantee in case the selected Service Provider fails to discharge their contractual obligations during the period or OCAC incurs any damages due to bidder's negligence in carrying out the project implementation as per the agreed terms & conditions.

Page No	Section	Sub-Section, Clause Details	Addition / Modifications (The Clause may be read as)
		Clause 8.7 : Terms of Payment	**Modification :**

Modification :

Sl.	Phase	Billing Cycle	Payment (%)	Deliverables
1.	e-Evaluation of Answer Scripts	Each exam cycle	100%	Completion certificate from SCTE&VT
2.	e-Question Delivery	Half Yearly	50%	Completion certificate from SCTE&VT

Clause 8.13 : Service Level Requirements

Addition :

Below Service Level Requirement (SLR) is the new addition to the SLR Table. Rest SLR(s) shall be remain unchanged.

Severity of Services	Severity Type	Definition
SLR-3	Crucial	UAT and First level Demonstration to the SCTEVT before the Examination (at least 15 days before the each examination Cycle)

Service Level Requirements	Max. Resolution Time	Penalty
SLR-3	Within 30 Hour	INR 8,000 Per 30 Minutes or part thereof

Sd/-

General Manager (Admn)

OCAC

Annexure (I): Technical Bid Evaluation

RFP- Section 7.4 Technical Bid Evaluation Scoring sheet may be read as:

SI.	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Score	Score	Committee Remarks
1	**Project Health**		**45**	
1.1	Web based Software solution services in Education sector in Govt. Sector /PSU/Govt. Institutes in last 3 years	10	=2 project: 2 marks >2&=4 project: 6 marks > 4 project: 10 marks	
1.2	Web based software solution and services in e-Question delivery	17	=1 project: 10 marks =2 project: 13 marks >2 project: 17 marks	
1.3	Web based software solution and services in Online Evaluation of answer scripts	18	=1 project: 12 marks =2 project: 14 marks >2 project: 18 marks	
2	**Presentation**		**30**	
2.1	Technical Presentation • Similar Project Experience • Approach and Methodology • Work Plan & SOP	30	Marks shall be awarded by Committee	
3	**Financial Health**		**15**	
3.1	Avg. Turnover in last three FY (2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18)	15	>25 Cr &<=30 Cr: 10 Marks >31 Cr &<=35 Cr: 13 Marks >36 Cr: 15 Marks	
4	**Quality Health**		**10**	
4.1	Certifications (CMMi)	10	CMMi Level 4 & above: 3 Marks ISO 20000: 4 Marks ISO 27001: 3 Marks	
	Total Score		**100**	

Annexure (II): Price Bid

Modification : (RFP Section-9.9 Price Bid)

1. **e-Evaluation of Diploma Answer Scripts**

Sl#	Particulars	Unit	Total No. of Scripts Yearly (A)	Unit Cost (INR) (B)	Applicable Tax (C)	Total Unit Cost (INR) (D = B+C)	Total Cost (INR) (E = A x D)
1	Per Candidate per Script (32 Pages-excluding Cover page)	One (Per Candidate per Script)	13 Lakh				
2	Per Candidate per Script (16 Pages-excluding Cover page)	One (Per Candidate per Script)	10,000				
						Sub-Total 1 =	
The quantity (number of scripts) is indicative only which may vary depending upon the actual							

2. **E-Question Delivery System**

Particulars	Total no Question Sets (Yearly) (A)	Unit Cost per set (INR) (B)	Applicable Tax (C)	Total Unit Cost (INR) (D = B+C)	Total Cost (INR) (E = A x D)
E-Question Delivery System	**3000 sets**				
				Sub-Total 2 =	
The quantity (number of sets) is indicative only which may vary depending upon the actual					

3. **Cost Summary:**

Sl#	Components	Total Cost (in Rs.)
1	e-Evaluation of Diploma Answer Scripts	
2	E-Question Delivery System	
	Total (Sub-Total 1 + Sub-Total 2) =	
Total Amount in Words		